

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE ·	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,069	03/26/2004	William Blake Kolb	55752US018	3513
7590 08/16/2005			EXAMINER	
Brian E. Szymanski			RINEHART, KENNETH	
Office of Intellectual Property Counsel 3M Innovative Properties Company			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 33427			3749	
St. Paul, MN 55133-3427			DATE MAILED: 08/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Tach
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comments	10/810,069	KOLB ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kenneth B. Rinehart	3749
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timer within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Jules</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	vn from consideration. is/are allowed. ected. bjected to.	on.
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/23/05, 7/8/05. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/810,069

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/8/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that Foote et al do not coat a substrate of indefinite length. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Claims in a pending application should be given their broadest reasonable interpretation. In this case the examiner does not believe that it is unreasonable for the reference to read on the claim limitations. As can be seen from the cited figure the apparatus processes substrates of various or indefinite lengths. Therefore, the reference reads on the claim limitation.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 6/23/05 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. There is no statement of relevance for DE4243515A.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,069

Art Unit: 3749

Claims 2-5, 7, 8, 17, 27-31, 33, 34, 35, 36-41, 42 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Foote et al (5536333). Foote et al shows the coating applicator (74, 76,78, fig. 6), curing station (86, fig. 6), close coupled enclosures (fig. 1), conditioned gas and reduction of particle count (col. 3, line 49, This reduction occurs inherently is such a controlled environment., fig. 6), solidified coating (86, fig. 1), transient zone (78, 144, fig. 1), different headspaces, footspaces (fig. 6), conditioned gas is carried along (This will inherently occur), supplied and withdrawn (col. 11, lines 50-65) seals (146, 152, fig. 2), pressure gradient (col. 11, line 63), material difference in operating pressures (146, fig. 6, col. 11, line 63).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6, 19-21, 23, 24, 27-51, 56, 57, 62-69 are allowed.

Claims 18, 32, 43-51, 56, 57, 62-69 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth B. Rinehart whose telephone number is 571-272-4881. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:20 -4:20.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 571-272-4881. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,069

Art Unit: 3749

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

kbr

KENNETH RINEHART **PRIMARY EXAMINE**S